

Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment Plot 96, Kanjokya Street, Kamwokya P. O. Box 29836, Kampala Tel: +256 312 812150 Email: acode@acode-u.org; library@acode-u.org

Website: http://www.acode-u.org

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT COUNCILS' PERFORMANCE AND PUBLIC SERVICE DELIVERY IN UGANDA

### Bududa District Council Score-Card Report FY 2013/2014

Authors: Peter Bogere, Aisha Khainza and Joseph Amos Kutosi

#### **BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE**

This brief presents findings from the score-card assessment conducted during the FY2013/14 for Bududa District Local Government under the Local Government Council Score-Card Initiative (LGCSCI). This initiative is an evidence based project implemented by ACODE in partnership with Uganda Local Governments Association (ULGA) with support from the Democratic Governance Fund. The initiative seeks to enhance the effectiveness of elected leaders in fulfilling their mandate and build the capacity of citizens to demand better services. LGCSCI uses a scorecard as an assessment tool to complete annual performance assessments on the district council, district chairperson, speaker and councillors by focusing on their roles and responsibilities as stipulated in the Local Government Act (as amended, 2010).

The annual assessment process is conducted by teams of researchers across the 30 districts partaking in the LGCSCI assessment. The research process involves a review of key district documents, interviews with key respondents and consultations with citizens through focus group discussions (FGDs). Following the data collection process, data is cleaned and analysed to identify key themes and patterns wherein a district report detailing the findings is produced for each of the assessed districts (full reports are available online; http://www.acode-u.org/). LGCSCI also produces and disseminates a 'National Synthesis Report' that provides trends analysis for all 30 of the assessed districts. Both reports are disseminated nationally with districts receiving copies of both their specific reports and copies of the National Synthesis Report.

### OVERVIEW OF BUDUDA DISTRICT DURING FY2013/14

Bududa District is governed by both the political and technical teams. Politically, the district was headed by Hon. John Baptist Nambeshe who was assisted by 30 councillors including the Speaker while the technical wing was headed by Mr. David Lubuuka in his role as the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO).

Bududa district has a population density of between 916 – 1,000 persons per sq. km compared to the national average of 175 persons per sq. km, making it one of the most densely populated districts in Uganda. The district is home to Mount Elgon National Park and the district is characterized by high hills and mountains. Such features make it one of the most disaster prone areas in Uganda and in the past 5 years it has suffered several landslide catastrophes that claimed over 500 lives.

The fertile volcanic soils and the abundant rainfall (averaging 1,500mm/year) makes agriculture a driving force of the district's economy. Dairy farming has also greatly boosted the incomes of farmers in the district, with over 30,000 liters of milk sold every day to milk processing firms and neighboring towns such as Mbale.

In FY2013/14 Bududa District had a total of 89 government aided primary schools with enrolment at 46,826 pupils and the Pupil Teacher Ratio at 1:68 – notably higher than the national standard of 1:50. Despite some achievements,<sup>1</sup> the education sector faces enormous challenges including high levels of absenteeism amongst staff and students, inadequate infrastructure and a high student dropout rate (especially among girls) that hampered the education performance of Bududa District. For instance, out of 2,657 candidates who registered for PLE, 120 (or

<sup>1</sup> Six classrooms and five teachers' houses were constructed and several schools received desks.

4.5 per cent) of candidates did not sit the exam; the majority of those who did not complete PLE were girls (2.9 per cent). The total dropout rate for students was alarmingly high at 21 per cent whilst the failure rate in national examinations was 23.4 per cent; such findings highlight the enormous challenges in the education sector.

# Figure 1: One of the poor quality classrooms at Banandutu primary school



Photo Credit: ACODE Digital Library

Bududa District hosts 194km of district roads and 126km of community access roads. Although records from the roads department shows that it has completed 90 per cent of its planned out-puts, the reality on the ground saw most roads unpassable by car or truck, particularly when it rains. Some sub-counties such as Bushiyi, Bukalasi, and Buwali did not have a single road which was suitable for motor vehicles.

# Figure 2: The state of Bulucheke – Bushiyi road during the rainy season



Photo Credit: ACODE Digital Library

## FACTORS AFFECTING SERVICE DELIVERY IN BUDUDA DISTRICT

a) Budgetary Constraints: In FY2013/14, Bududa District received UGX 13.5 billion. The district suffered a budgetary shortfall of 7 per cent of the expected revenue for FY2013/2014 as the budget projections for FY 2013/2014 was set at UGX 15,810,647,000/= based on the planned service delivery activities of the district.

This shortfall in finances (for FY2013/2014) results from a drop in the anticipated government transfer of the development grant, non-remittance of 35 per cent local revenue by lower local governments and the non-collection of local service tax from the Ministry of Finance. Budgetary constraints seriously hamper effective service delivery as the District Government does not have the finances to implement their planned service delivery activities.

Figure 3: Three year budget allocation for Bududa District FY2011/12-2013/14



Source: Bududa District Final Accounts, FY2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14

- b) Poor Revenue Collection and Tax Administration: poor revenue collection and tax administration not only limits the generation of local revenue but also leads to high tax evasion and a budget shortfall, particularly for council activities. For instance, Bududa District Local Government planned to raise UGX 200,098,000 from locally generated revenue but by the close of March 2014 only UGX 70,368,000 had been raised. This directly affected the planned activities of standing committees and council as locally generated revenue is earmarked to directly finance these activities.
- c) High Staff Turnover: a high staff turnover has left Bududa District Local Government with a staffing level of 31 per cent with most heads of department in acting capacity.
- d) Natural Disasters: continuous landslides have continued to destroy some of the infrastructure put in place to provide services. For instance, five schools were closed due to their location in landslide-prone areas and a HCIII was destroyed in Nametsi.
- e) Geography: the terrain of Bududa District makes the transportation of construction materials and equipment difficult and results in high costs for construction and repairing infrastructure thus consuming a disproportionate amount of the meagre District Local Government resources.
- f) Limited Monitoring of Service Delivery: Several councillors did not carry out their monitoring role and if they did, they did not document their experiences. It was common to find a councillor's

name in the visitor's book of the service delivery unit although the councillor was unable to report the challenges faced by the unit, discuss the issues in council or provide any follow-up action to amend the problems.

g) Limited Contact with the Electorate: The failure by the electorate to understand the roles and responsibilities of political leaders and councillors in particular greatly affected the councillors' role of contact with electorate. The electorate had diverse expectations from their councilors and excessive demands discouraged the councilors maintaining contact with their electorate.

#### **SCORE-CARD PERFORMANCE**

The score-card parameters are derived from the mandate of the organs assessed as stipulated in the Local Governments Act. While all the four categories of Bududa District Local Government political staff are evaluated on their legislative and monitoring functions, the district chairperson, speaker and council are assessed against additional roles.

As the political head of the district, the district chairperson is also assessed on their political leadership as well as the initiation of and participation in development projects in their electoral area. The speaker is assessed primarily as a councillor with an additional scoring requirement of presiding over and the preservation of order in council. The district council, the highest organ in the district, is assessed against planning and budgeting requirements and in providing accountability to citizens.

#### Table 1: Bududa District Council Score-card FY 2013/14

lane		until 5		510112015/14
	2011/12	60	100	
ance	2012/13	61	100	
Trends in Performance	2013/14	64	100	
<b>Trends</b> i	% change			
Parameter	Indicator	Score	Max Score	Explanatory Remarks
	Rules of Procedure	2	2	
	Membership to ULGA	0	2	Standard rules and
	Committees of Council	2	3	procedures were adopted in 2011 and operationalized. The
	Motions passed	0	3	District Executive Committees sat 4
ROLE	Ordinances	0	3	times and the Business Committee 6 times.
LEGISLATIVE ROLE	Conflict Resolution	1	1	No evidence was provided of motions
LEGISL	Public Hearings	0	2	and ordinances passed however 2 petitions were presented.
	Legislative resources	2	4	Capacity building was carried out by ACODE
	Petitions	2	2	and SDS and one learning trip to Luwero
	Capacity building	3	3	was sponsored by ACODE.
	Sub total	12	25	
	Fiscal Accountability	3	4	Evidence was available
	Political Accountability	3	8	on all commissions although PAC reports
SN	Administrative Accountability	2	8	were never debated. There was no
CITIZE	Involvement of CSO	2	2	evidence of follow up action regarding accountability to
ІЦТҮ ТО	Principles of accountability	2	3	citizens. 5 partnerships were formed with
ACCOUNTABILITY TO CITIZENS	Sub total	12	25	STAR-F, SDS, ACODE, UNDP and USAID. Every sub-county holds a budget conference. Although the charter was not displayed, the DEC made a directive to display at all service delivery units.
9	Plans, Vision and Mission	4	5	The District Council
DGETII	District Budget	4	4	approved the 5 year District Development Plan although no
5 & BU	Local Revenue	2	11	evidence of an ordinance on financial
PLANNING & BUDGETING	Sub total	10	20	autonomy was availed. Council proposed new taxes on timber and produce trucks.
As	Education	5	5	
N NPP.	Health	5	5	
ERY O	Water and sanitation	4	4	The District Council was able to obtain all
DELIV	Roads	4	4	the 30 possible points for monitoring all
ERVICE	Agriculture	4	4	NPPAs and were able to provide evidence of having done so.
ING SE	FAL	4	4	noving done so.
MONITORING SERVICE DELIVERY ON NPPAS	ENR	4	4	
DW	Sub total	30	30	
				]

#### Table 2: Chairperson's Score-card FY2013/14

	John Baptist Nambes	he	•	NRM
	2011/12	62	100	
mance	2012/13	71	100	
Perfor	2013/14	56	100	
Trends in Performance	% change	-27		
Parameter	Indicators	Score	Max Score	Explanatory Remarks
	DEC	1	3	
	Monitoring admin	4	5	
	State of affairs	1	2	The Chairperson chaired 6 meetings and delegated once
	Oversight civil servants	2	4	to his vice chairperson. He implemented council decisions on Nabweya Gravity Flow scheme and Bududa – Busano
르	Commissions/ Boards	2	2	road as well as resolving issues of Shiolelo village and border conflicts between Bukalasi and Buwali sub-counties.
POLITICAL LEADERSHIP	Central gov't	3	4	Although there was evidence of communication to CAO, no follow ups were done.
POLITICA	Sub Total	13	20	
	Council	0	2	
	Motions Executive	2	6	The Chairperson attended two council meetings and passed
ROLE	Bills by Executive	0	7	a motion to create new Health Centre IIIs and H/CIV in the
LEGISLATIVE ROLE	Sub Total	2	15	district however, there was no evidence of bills presented to council
	Meetings Electorate	5	5	
Ŧ	Issues by electorate	5	5	The Chairperson possessed a programme of meetings-
CONTACT WITH Electorate	Sub Total	10	10	electorate and there was evidence of the resolution of civic issues
	Projects Initiated	3	3	
	Communal Projects	2	2	The Chairperson supervised the construction of a trail and
	NGOs	5	3	monitored community projects. 2 MOUs with Mbale Coalition
PROJECTS	Sub Total	10	10	Against Poverty and Ms Capita Ventures International were signed.
	Agriculture	3	7	
IONAL	Health	3	7	
N NATI	Schools	3	7	
ERY OI AS	Roads	3	7	
DELIV	Water Sources	3	7	The monitoring of NPPAs was generally well done.
RVICE	FAL	3	5	
PROG	Environment	3	5	
MONITORING SERVICE DELIVERY ON NATIONAL PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS	Sub Total	21	45	

#### Table 3: Speaker's Score-card FY 2013/14

	Name	Micheal	Matsyetse	
	District	Bududa		
	Political Party			
	Constituency	Bumash	eti	
	Gender	Male		
	Terms	2		
	2011/12	35	100	
Jance	2012/13	63	100	
erforn	2013/14	76	100	
Trends in Performance				
Iren	% change	21		
Parameter	Indicator	Score	Max Score	Explanatory Remarks
	Chairing council	3	3	
	Rules of procedure	9	9	
	Business Committee	2	3	The Speaker chaired 5 meetings and delegated at
	Records book	2	2	least once to his deputy. There was evidence of adoption of rules of procedures and
-	Record of motions	0	3	evidence of 2 petitions but no bills were presented. No
Legislative Function	Special skills	0	5	special skills were provided to guide council/committee.
Legislativ	Sub Total	16	25	
orate	Meetings Electorate	11	11	Evidence of meetings with
h Electo	coordinating center	9	9	electorates were filed; the Speaker meets his electorate
Contact with Electorate	Sub Total	20	20	at his office - Matejje Trading centre.
116	Participation in LLG	10	10	The Speaker attended LLG meetings where he guided on several issues especially on service delivery
	Health	5	7	
	Education	5	7	
	Agriculture	5	7	
	Water	5	7	The Speaker monitored a substantial number of service
	Roads	5	7	delivery facilities apart from FAL
NPPAs	FAL	1	5	
Monitoring NPPAs	Environment	4	5	
Мол	Sub total	30	45	

Identifiers					Trends	Trends in Performance	nance	Ę	Legislative role	role			Contact wi electorate	Contact with electorate		LLGS			Monit	Monitoring NPPA	РРА		
этей	Political Party	հյսոօշ-զոչ	Gender	Terms	۲۱/۱۱۵۲	٤٢/٢٢٥٢	\$1/ELOZ	əɓueyə %	Plenary Committee	Motion	Special skill	lefot du2	916001999 Brite	Office	letotdu2	sgnit99m Ytnuoz du2	Health	Education	Agriculture	Water	FAL Roads	ENB	letoT du2
			Maximum Score	Score	100	100	100		8	'n	4	25	=	6	20	10	7	7	7	7 7	5	ŝ	45
Francis Namwokoyi	NRM	Buwali	٤	-	45	2	77 7		∞	0	0	16	6	6	18	10	7	7	-C	5	7 1	4	33
Simon Peter Walibwa	NRM	Nakatsi	¥	-	25	73 7	76 4		5 4	0	-	10	6	6	18	10	7	7	7	7	7 3	0	38
Elisha Wesira	FDC	Bushika	W	-	37	57 7	72 2	26 8	∞	2	0	18	6	6	18	10	s	7	-	5	7 0	-	26
Geofrey Natubu Masaba	NRM	Bulucheke	×	S	56	66	71 8		8	0		17	6	6	18	10	S	S	5	5	5 0	-	26
David Mayeku Musene	NRM	Bumayoka	¥	-	36	57 (	69 2	21 2	1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	10	S	7	7	7	1 3	2	32
Edward Bukoma	NRM	Nabweya	×	-	35	76 (	6- 69		1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	10	4	7	S	5	7 3	-	32
Pheobe Mwenyi Lubango	NRM	Bulucheke/ Bushiyi	ш	-	43	54 0	68 2	26	∞	0	0	16	6	6	18	10	ŝ	S	<del></del>	5	5 3	0	24
Richard Nekoye	NRM	Youth Bududa	W	-	55	72 (	63 -1	-13	∞	0	0	16	6	6	18	10	-	5	5		-	-	19
James Masika	NRM	PWD	W	2	46	51 0	63 2,	24 8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	0	16	6	6	18	10	0	7	-	5	5 0	-	19
Michael Mutinye	Ind	Bududa /county	¥		40	54 0	62 1	15	∞	0	0	16	6	6	18	10	-	S	S	-	5 0	-	18
John Moses Londi	NRM	Nalwaza	Ø	-	29	45 (	62 3	38	1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	10	7	7	5	5 (	0 0	-	25
Patrick Meru	NRM	Bubiita	M	4	57	59 (	61 3		∞	0	0	16	6	6	18	0	5	5	5	5	5 1	-	27
Moses Wambette	Ind	Bukigai	W	-	27	63	 -	ċ	5 1	0	-	٢	6	6	18	9	-	5	7	7	0	2	29
Annet Namono	NRM	PWD	ш.	4	24	50	56 1	12	5 8	0	0	13	11	6	20	4	7	e	5	-	0 3	0	19
Kezia .B. Wakhata	NRM	Bukigai/ Nabweya	Ľ.	4	47	57 5	55 -4	4	1 5	0	0	9	6	6	18	10	5	7			-	-	21
Mereth Wakinya	NRM	Bushika/ Nakatsi	u.	-	19	44	55 2!	25	1	0	0	2	6	6	18	9	7	7	5	-	-	-	29
Robert Wangusi	NRM	Bushiyi	W	-	37	55	50 -9	- 6-	1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	10	5	-	-	-	3	-	13
Catherine Kakayi Wamatabo	NRM	Bukalasi	Ľ.	2	19	49 4	49 0		1 5	0	0	9	6	6	18	9	æ	7	5	-	-	-	19
Vicent Kuloba	Ind	Bushiribo	M	-	35	23	48 -9	6-	8	0	-	17	2	9	80	∞	-	S	-	5	-	-	15
Elizabeth Zaale	NRM	Buwali/Bubbita/Nalwaza	u.	-	33	66 4	46 -3	-30	1 0	0	0	-	6	6	18	10	-	5	-	-	5	-	17
Alfred Musamali	NRM	Bukibokolo	M	2			39		1 5	0	0	9	6	6	18	0	-	S	m	5	0 0	-	15
Zuura Kuloba	Ind	Bumayoka	u.	2	36	45	39 -1	-13	1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	2	-	-	2	-	-	0	10
Steven Musuto	NRM	Bukalasi	M	-	42	63	35 -4	-44 1	1 8	0	0	6	s	6	14	0	2	-	-	-	-	2	12
Aidah .M .Katisi	NRM	Youth	u.	-	Ħ	29	34 1	17 1	-	0	0	7	6	6	18	0	m	7	-	-	-	0	14
Samali Nakhayenze	NRM	Bududa s/county	Ŀ	-	28	48	32 -3	-33	1 8	0	0	6	6	6	18	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	5
Bennah Namono	Ind	Bushiribo	ш	-	24	45	31 -3	-31 1	1 4	0	0	S	6	6	18	0	-	-	0		•	-	∞
Rose Namono	NRM	Bududa Town Council	ш	-	13	35	30 -1	-14	1	0	0	2	ŝ	6	14	10	-	-	0	-	0	0	4
Sarah Nandutu Kutosi	NRM	Bukibokolo/Bumasheti	<b></b>	-	26	49	30 -3	-39 1	1	0	0	2	6	6	18	0	-	2	-	-	0	-	10
George Wanetosi	NRM	Bududa Town Council	W	-	23	42	29 -3	-31	1 8	2	0	14	0	6	6	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	9

### POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVED SERVICE DELIVERY

- From the Bududa District Council Scorecard Report, it is recommended that:
- 1. Central Government increase budgetary allocation for Bududa District Local Government to meet service delivery priorities.
- 2. Bududa District Local Government Council initiate ways of increasing local revenue so as to improve on the 20 per cent financial support allocated to council.
- 3. Bududa District has a lot of potential to generate local revenue however this potential remains unexploited. Specific economic activities include timber logging, banana (bogoya) trade, and coffee trade; Bududa District can double its' locally generated revenue if it reviews and implements a renewed tax policy.
- 4. Key administrative staff in Bududa District Local Government be recruited. Qualified members of staff are required to provide leadership and supervision to ensure the efficient delivery of services. In addition, there should be initiatives to motivate existing staff (most of whom are holding positions in acting capacity) by providing job security through regular or fulltime employment.
- 5. The practice of producing monitoring reports by the elected leaders must be institutionalized.
- 6. More capacity building initiatives for council members and elected leaders on report writing, record keeping and minutes taking must be undertaken.

The full report on these findings can be accessed on ACODE's online information center at: <u>http://www.acode-u.org/</u>

#### About the Authors

**Peter Bogere** is an Independent Researcher and he is also a Program Officer, Bugisu NGO Forum.

**Aisha Khainza** is an Independent Researcher and a Social Worker at BUCINET.

Joseph Amos Kutosi is an Independent Researcher