

Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment Plot 96, Kanjokya Street, Kamwokya P. O. Box 29836, Kampala Tel: +256 312 812150

Email: acode@acode-u.org; library@acode-u.org

Website: http://www.acode-u.org

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COUNCILS' PERFORMANCE AND PUBLIC SERVICE DELIVERY IN UGANDA

Mukono District Council Score-Card Report FY 2013/2014

Authors: Edmond M. Owori, Deoson Kigoonya and Stephen Ssempala

BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

This brief presents findings from the 2013/14 assessment of Mukono District Local Government under the Local Government Councils' Score-card Initiative (LGCSCI), an evidence based project implemented by ACODE in partnership with ULGA with support from DGF. It seeks to enhance the effectiveness of elected leaders in fulfilling their mandate and build the citizens' capacity to demand better services. The initiative uses the score-card – an assessment tool to facilitate annual performance assessments on the district council, district chairperson, speaker and councilors with focus on their roles and responsibilities as stipulated in the Local Government Act (as amended).

The rigorous assessment process is guided by a deliberate methodology and is conducted by an assorted team of researchers that is trained on an annual basis. The process involves: review of key district documents, interviews with key respondents, consultation with citizens through focus group discussions, analysis of data collected and finally, production and dissemination of findings. The findings are compiled into a report and disseminated at national and district levels (available online; http://www.acode-u.org/).

OVERVIEW OF MUKONO DISTRICT DURING FY2013/14

Mukono District is managed by the political and technical leadership which complement each other. The political wing is headed by Mr. Francis Lukooya Mukoome, the District Chairperson who works with a council of 28 elected councilors, 19 of whom are male and 11 female. Mr. Luke Lukoda on the other

hand manages the technical wing which comprises several specialized departments that deliver a host of services to a population of about 550000 that grows annually at a rate of 2.6%. This population vis-à-vis the available resources and quality of leadership of the district greatly determine the level and quality of service delivery in the district. A number of indicators provide a glimpse into the state of service delivery for the district. Table 1 shows the level of achievement of the district during FY 2013/14.

Table 1: Level of achievement of the district during FY 2013/14

Sector	Indicators	Level of achievement 2013/14
_	Children of primary school going age (6- 12 yrs)	74,724
ıcatior	Enrolment	74,724
ary Edu	Pupil Classroom Ratio (PCR)	49:1
Education -Primary Education	Pupil-Teacher Ratio (PTR)	47:1
cation	Pupil-to-Desk Ratio (PDR)	5:1
Edu	PLE Performance	Div 1(10.2%) Div 2.40.7%) Div 3. (22.1%) Div 4: (12.7%) Div u:(14.4%)
	ANC 4th Visit	7784 (28%)
	Deliveries in Health Centres	46%
ces	Total beds	-
Health Care services	Access to Maternity services	-
Ith Car	MMR	423 per 100,000 live births
Неа	IMR	57 per 1,000 live births
	Staffing Levels	84%
	Latrine Coverage	64%
	Km of roads under routine maintenance	1184.51km (planned)
٥٢	Km of roads rehabilitated	36.30km (planned)
b-Sect	Km of roads under periodic maintenance	103.94km (planned)
Road Sub-Sector	Proportion of roads in good condition	Not known
- Ž	Construction of bridges	None
	Opening up new community roads	

_		
	Water coverage	65%
	Number of boreholes sunk	15 (planned)
_	Number of boreholes rehabilitated	-
nitatio	Functionality of water sources	92%
Water and sanitation	Proportion of the population within 1km of an improved water source	-
Wat	Pit latrine coverage	72.8%
	Access to safe water	-
	Water source with active water user committee	52%
01	Number of extension workers per sub- county	No information
Agriculture	Number of service points	No information
Agri	Number of demonstration farms	No information
	Technical back-up visits	No information
	Number of instructors	231
FAL	Number of participants	2,379
12	Number of service centres	-
	Level of coverage	Not known
	Staffing Level	lacking fiscal planner, Registrar of Titles, District Valuer.
	Conduct Environmental monitoring and assessment	13 district-level projects
Environment and Natural Resources	Production and update District State of the Environment Report (DSOER)	The District lacks a wetlands management plan
itural R	District Environment Action Plan	None
and Na	Preparation of District Wetland Ordinance	Not done
ment	Monitor wetland systems in the district	90 sites (fragile ecosystems)
inviron	Establishment of Agro-forestry nurseries	-
	Produced District Forest Development Plan	1
	Prepared Community Action Wetland Plan	1
	District Wetland Action Plan	Not developed.

FACTORS AFFECTING SERVICE DELIVERY IN MUKONO DISTRICT

As observed from the table, the district has made headway in some areas while performance in other areas is still faced with challenges. These challenges are discussed as follows.

a) Budgetary Constraints: The financial resources available to the district remain inadequate amidst low levels of local revenue collection. During the FY 2013/14, the district had a total of 26,559,803 of which only 999,596 (3%) was local revenue. Mukono suffers the fate of most local governments of narrow tax base and the significant decrease in locally raised revenues by UGX 245m, arose from central government taking over of the lands office and services. Given that council activities are dependent on 20

- per cent of the locally-raised revenue this greatly affects the performance of council.
- b) Limited support supervision and operations repairs and maintenance: There is evident weak support to sectors in terms of effective supervision and inspection, from both the technical and political angles. An audit report indicated that boreholes drilled at UGX 120,087,849 were found producing unsafe water. Construction of staff houses at Namasumbi HCII in which UGX 47,135,660 had already been sunk was incomplete and had been abandoned. Overall, poor management and supervision of contracts was reported by various assessments, noting that six contracts awarded worth UGX 186,283,217 were uncompleted or their works were abandoned.
- c) Issues related to procurement, poor contract works and accountability: There were concerns with regard to the slow and bureaucratic system of procurement process leading to the delayed delivery of services. Findings indicated interruptions and delays especially in the engineering and construction works. The concern, however, remains the quality of services as reflected in the various sub-counties. There were cases of sub-standard work seen in the construction of classroom blocks, health centres and sinking of boreholes. Audit findings by the Office of the Auditor General revealed several irregularities.



Above: Culvert casting yard initiated by Mukono District for easing on roads maintenance in FY 2012/13 and Below is a Drilling Rig Unit procured by the District from Malaysia in FY2013/14



SCORE-CARD PERFORMANCE

The score-card parameters are derived from the mandate of the organs assessed as stipulated in the Local Governments Act (as amended). While all the four categories are evaluated on their legislative and monitoring functions, the district chairperson, speaker and council are assessed against additional roles. As the political head of the district, the district chairperson is also assessed on their political leadership as well as Initiation and participation in projects in their electoral area. The speaker is assessed primarily as a councillor with an additional function of presiding and preservation order in council. The district council, which is the highest organ in the district, is also assessed against the core function of planning and budgeting as well as providing accountability to citizens.

Mukono District Council comprises 28 councilors, the Speaker and the Chairperson. The District Council scored 72 out of 100 possible points. This good performance was attributed to the introduction of accountability meetings at sub-county level. The District Chairperson, Lukooya Francis Mukoome, scored 80 points, while the District Speaker scored 89 points (Overall Best Speaker). With an average score of 69 points, the councilors' performance reflected constant improvement when compared to the score of 53 per cent for the preceding FY(2012/13). With 76 points, Hon. Jane Mukasa Ssozi of Ntenjeru and Mpatta sub-counties doubled as the best female and also best overall performer, while Hon. Musa Kiggundu of Kyampisi sub-county was the best male councillor for FY 2013/14. Notable among the new improving councilors were Hon. Isabirye of Nama Sub-county who performed extremely well in monitoring service delivery and reporting, while his counterpart in Nakisunga Sub-county, Hon. Ssekikubo also performed well.

Table 2: District Council Score-card FY 2013/14

2011/12 78 100 2012/13 67 100 2013/14 69 100 % change 3 Indicator Score Max Score Explanatory Rem	
The state of the s	
Indicator Score Score Explanatory Rem	
Indicator Score Score Explanatory Rem	
Rules of Procedure 2 2	narks
Membership to ULGA 0 2	
Committees of Council 2 3	, paid
Motions passed 2 3 Council NOT fully up member to U Policy on client of	ILGA
anti-corruption, and graduated transfer of the compensation procession process	
Ordinances Ordinances Ordinances Ordinance on sai at H/Cs Petitioned NFA f degazetting Nan Ordinance on Sai at H/Cs Petitioned NFA f degazetting Nan Ordinance on Sai at H/Cs Petitioned NFA f degazetting Nan Ordinance on Sai at H/Cs Petitioned NFA f degazetting Nan Ordinances	nitation
Public Hearings 0 2 CFR	
Legislative resources 4 4 A resource centrestablished with	
Petitions 2 2 facilities.	
Capacity building 2 3	
Sub total 15 25	
Fiscal Accountability 4 4	
Political Accountability 4 8 Information is displayed on sub-	
Political Accountability Administrative Accountability Involvement of CSO Principles of accountability Principles of accountability A B Information is displayed on sut county notice be at 12 MOUs signed partnership active Budget conferent attended with distalkeholders Client charter distance at CAOs notice be at CAOs notice be	for vities
Involvement of CSO 2 2 Budget conferent attended with distakeholders	
Principles of accountability 3 3 Client charter dis	
Sub total 17 25	
Plans, Vision and Mission 5 5	
District Budget District Budget 4 4 Local Revenue 6 11 Local Revenue 6 11 Local Revenue	
Local Revenue 6 11 as graduated tax compensation.	(
Sub total 15 20	
Education 3 5	
Health 5 5	
Water and sanitation 3 4 Efforts were made all the sectors by	y the
Roads 4 4 4 However commit	ittee
as involvement	
Agriculture 3 4 FAL activities and reports were rec	eived
Agriculture 3 4 no involvement FAL activities and reports were recommendation for the fact of the fact	ceived.
Agriculture 3 4 no involvement FAL activities and reports were rec	eived.

Table 3: Chairperson's Score-card FY2013/14

	Francis Lukooya Muk	oome		
o,	2011/12	80	100	
Trends in performance	2012/13	80	100	
s in perf	2013/14	80	100	
Trend	% change			
Parameter	Indicators	Score	Max Score	Explanatory Remarks
	DEC	2	3	
	Monitoring admin	5	5	
	State of affairs	2	2	
	Oversight civil servants	2	4	Attended 5 council sittings Bills on land recentralization of
ERSHIP	Commissions/ Boards	2	2	office by the council being non accountable.
POLITICAL LEADERSHIP	Central gov't	4	4	
POLIT	Sub Total	17	20	
	Council	2	2	Program of meetings
	Motions Executive	4	6	evidenced on report formats developed, Diary and notebooks in office.
E ROLE	Bills by Executive	2	7	Issues raised evidenced in DEC minutes Public notification of decisions
LEGISLATIVE ROLE	Sub Total	8	15	evidence seen in Barazas to electorate and communities.
_	Meetings Electorate	3	5	
CONTACT WITH ELECTORATE	Issues by electorate	5	5	Public notification of decisions evidence seen in Barazas to electorate and communities.
CONT/ ELECT	Sub Total	8	10	
	Projects Initiated	3	3	
	Communal Projects	2	2	Initiated a number of projects including the procurement of
SCTS	NGOs	3	5	drilling machine for the district and making own culverts for
PROJECTS	Sub Total	8	10	the district.
_	Agriculture	6	7	
MONITORING SERVICE DELIVERY ON NATIONAL PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS	Health	6	7	
Y ON N	Schools	7	7	
LIVERY	Roads	6	7	Monitored service delivery units and made necessary
ICE DE	Water Sources	7	7	follow up actions apart from FAL.
S SERV OGRA!	FAL	4	5	
MONITORING SERVICE DELIVER PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS	Environment	3	5	
MONIT	Sub Total	39	45	

Table 4: Speaker's Score-card FY 2013/14

	Name	James Ko	ezaala Kun	obwa
	District	Mukono		
	Political Party	NRM		
	Constituency	Nagojje		
	Gender	Male		
	Terms	3		
	Terms	3		
	2011/12	73	100	
ance	2011/12	79	100	
ı Perform	2013/14	89	100	
Trends in Performance	% change	13		
Parameter	Indicator	Score	Max Score	Explanatory Remarks
	Chairing council	3	3	
	Rules of procedure	7	9	
	Business Committee	3	3	Chaired 5 council sittings but
	Records book	2	2	did not delegate any. Production of minutes NOT
ion	Record of motions	3	3	timely! Presided over 5 business
. Fund	Special skills	5	5	committees.
Legislative Function	Sub Total	23	25	
	Meetings Electorate	11	11	
orate	coordinating center	9	9	Evidence of meetings with Namataba Town Board,
Contact with Electorate	Sub Total	20	20	Nakaseeta Community Polytechnic. Evidence on circular to sub counties and community meeting.
971	Participation in LLG	10	10	Attended 4 sub-county council meetings at Naggojje Sub- county.
	Health	7	7	
	Education	5	7	
	Agriculture	3	7	
	Water	7	7	Monitored service delivery units and made necessary
	Roads	7	7	follow up actions apart from
PPAs	FAL	3	5	
Monitoring NPPAs	Environment	4	5	
Monito	Sub total	36	45	

Table 5: Summary performance of Mukono District Councilors FY 2013/14

Identifiers					Trends	Trends in Performance	тмапсе		Legislative role	e role			Cont	Contact with electorate		LLGs			Mor	Monitoring NPPA	PPA		
Этей	yhe9 lesitilo9	Vinnoo-du?	депдец	Terms	71/1107	2012/13	7013/14	әбиецэ %	Plenary	Committee	Special skill	letot du2	Meeting electorate	9)ffice	lefotdu2	Sub county meetings	ңеәіт	Education	Agriculture	Water	FAL	ENB	letoT du2
		-	Maximum Score	Score	100	100	100		∞	8	4	25	7	6	20	10	7	7	7	7	7 5	20	45
Jane Mukasa Ssozi	NRM	Ntenjeru, Mpatta	ш	2	36	64	77	19	∞	8 5	0	21	6	6	18	10	7	7	m	т	3	2	28
Musa Kiggundu	NRM	Kyampisi	8	2	18	64	72	=	00	1 5	_	15	6	6	18	9	7	7	3	2	7 3	-	33
John Bosco Isabirye	NRM	Nama	W	-	43	55	69	24	∞	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	9	2	-	7	7	7 0	2	29
Asuman Muwumuza	NRM	Koome	V	2	54	53	99	23	∞	8 5	0	21	5	5	10	9	7	7	-	2	7 0	2	29
Mubarak Ssekikubo	NRM	Nakisunga	W	-	49	64	19	9-	∞	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	9	5	5	-	2	3 1	-	21
Samuel Okoth	NRM	Mpunge	₹	-	24	55	59	2	∞	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	0	7	7	-	7	1 0	2	25
Emmanuel Mbonye	NRM	Mpatta	V	-	18	53	22	9	∞	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	4	-	3	-	2	7 0	7	19
Lyton Nabukenya	NRM	Youth	ш	_	30	99	22	-15	~	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	2	2	5	-	7	1 0	2	21
Evaristo Kaluuma	pul	Seeta Namuganga	W	2	09	59	22	-5	∞	8	0	16	6	6	18	0	5	5	-	5	5 0	2	23
Annet Nakanwagi	NRM	PWD	ш	2	45	55	99	0	∞	0 8	-	11	6	6	18	9	8	2	8	c	0 1	2	15
Roy Grace Nammayanja	NRM	Nakisunga	ш	2	43	62	26	-1	∞	0 8	-	11	6	2	14	4	33	3	-	7	7 0	0	21
Florence Nakamya Kaate	NRM	Seeta Namuganga	ш	-	09	45	54	18	5 8	0 8	0	13	3	6	12	10	-	7	7	-	1 0	2	19
Alice Namanda	NRM	Kimenyedde, Nabbaale	ш	_	37	44	54	20	∞	0 8	_	11	6	6	18	10	-	3	3		1 0	0	6
Julius Ddamulira Ssemakula	OP	Mukono Cental Division	W	-	51	64	53	-19	∞	8 0	0	16	6	6	18	9	3	3	-	0	5 0	-	13
Godfrey Nsubuga	NRM	PWD	W	-		41	25	24	∞	8 5	0	21	6	6	18	0	-	-	7	-		-	13
Godfrey Musanje Kikulwe	NRM	Kasawo	W	2	25	99	25	-22	∞	8 0	0	16	5	6	14	4	-	3	3	2	5 0	-	18
Hardson Kiyaga Robison	NRM	Ntenjeru	W	_	39	99	20	-13	8	4 0	0	12	5	5	10	10	3	-	3	_	7 1	2	18
Hussein Mubiru	pul	Kimenyedda	W	_	19	49	20	0	∞	8 0	_	17	6	6	18	0	3	-	3	3	3 0	2	15
Hajara Nakiguli	NRM	Kasaawo	ш	_	48	19	20	-20	4	4 0	0	∞	6	6	18	9	3	3	-	7	1 3	0	18
Lukeman Ssegayi	OP	Goma	W	_		63	49	-24	-	8 0	0	6	6	6	18	∞	3	-	-	3	3 1	2	14
Teopista Galabuzi	DP	Goma	ш	-	27	41	49	17	4	8	0	12	6	6	18	4	3	-	3	3	3 0	2	15
Anna Lubulwa	NRM	Ntunda, Nagojje	ш	2	29	51	49	9-	∞	8 2	0	18	6	5	14	9	3	3	-	-	1	-	Ξ
Rose Babirye	DP	Mukono Central Divivsion	ш	-	31	45	48	4	-	8		10	6	6	18	10	-	-	-	3	1	2	10
Joachim Mukasa	NRM	Youth	W	-	33	40	47	15	∞	8	0	16	2	9	=	9	2	-	-	_	3 1	2	14
Kaweesa Kaweesa	pul	Ntunda	W	_	53	41	43	2	∞	8 0	0	16	5	6	14	9	-	-	-	_	1	-	7
Noelina Nabuyange	NRM	Nama, Kyampis	ш	_	14	40	41	0	∞	0 8	0	16	6	6	18	2	0	-	-	_	1 0	-	2
Jamil Kawooya	NRM	Nabbale	W	2	33	27	36	30	∞	8 0	0	16	2	5	7	0	-	-	7	_	1	-	13
Anuriata Nambi	NRM	Koome, Mpunge	ш	-	21	28	31	-48	-	8 0	0	6	7	6	16	0	-	-	-	_	1 0	-	9
Average				-	40	53	23	-		7 1	0	15	∞	∞	16	2	ъ	3	7	ю	3 1	-	17

KEY FACTORS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE OF MUKONO DISTRICT

- a) Unfulfilling political promises: A good number of councilors find their expectations have not been met, hence the seemingly low morale in the execution of their duties. But most profoundly, poor facilitation emerged as the most critical factor constraining performance, as they could not conduct regular monitoring as well as organize community meetings to account to their voters.
- b) Roles and Responsibilities: There is an apparent failure on the part of some councilors to distinguish between the functions of the political and technical arms of the local government.
- c) Challenges to keeping contact with the electorate: It was observed that district councilors did not have officially laid out plans for meetings with the electorate and therefore did not conduct formal meetings. The few councilors that sustained their contact with the electorate continued to do so during social functions such as church services, weddings and burials.
- d) Low levels of participation in sub-county council meetings: It was evidently observed from the study that there was poor performance in regard to the required attendance of sub-county council meetings by district councilors.
- e) Low civic awareness among community members: A key finding from the various FGDs conducted with communities, as well as interactions with district officials, noted that the majority of citizens were largely ignorant of the mandate of councilors. This in essence meant that councilors were not held accountable by the electorate for their actions while in council.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVED SERVICE DELIVERY

- a) Identify and Utilize Local Revenue Source Potential: Mukono District has enormous untapped local revenue potential. The district should increase revenue through popularizing the Local Economic Development (LED) agenda.
- b) Strengthen Local Accountability Mechanisms:
 Mukono boasts of some initiatives that have been instrumental in championing accountability, and these include: accountability meetings by the CAO, Mukono Day led by the RDC, and the public display of leaders' phone numbers spearheaded by the Chairperson. This should be consolidated
- c) Recruitment of Staff: The survey revealed significant staff gaps across all departments in the district. Mukono District Local Government should therefore consider recruitment and

- deployment of staff across sectors beginning with the critical ones.
- d) Effective Coordination between the District and LLGs: This will ensure effective accountability by leaders to the citizens. At the LLGs, it is good practice to share a schedule of council meetings with the district to avoid scheduling overlaps and clash of activities planned.
- e) Orientation of District Councilors: Role clarity is key to effective functionality of local governments. It is imperative that efforts to orient councilors on their designated roles and responsibilities be given due attention.
- f) Improve Civic Awareness and Engagement:
 For citizens to effectively hold their leaders accountable, they should know what those leaders should do for them. More emphasis should be put on using the radio to remind communities about the district's development agenda.
- g) Improve contact with the electorate: Councilors' contacts with the electorate should be improved through councilor-community meetings and attendance of sub-county meetings.

The full report on these findings can be accessed on ACODE's online information center at: http://www.acode-u.org/

About the Authors

Edmond M. Owori is a Development Consultant with working experience of several years in postgraduate research and consultancy, capacity building and organizational development support.

Deoson Kigoonya is an Independent Researcher and is also the Cordinator of Mukono NGO Forum.

Stephen Ssempala is an Independent Researcher and is also the Advocacy Officer with Mukono NGO Forum.